Case 3:12-cv-01586-JST Document 28 Filed 11/09/12 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP ANDREW S. TULUMELLO, SBN 196484 atulumello@gibsondunn.com 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539		
5 6 7 8	CHRISTOPHER CHORBA, SBN 216692 cchorba@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520		
9	Attorneys for Defendants Frito-Lay North America, Inc. and PepsiCo., Inc.		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	MARKUS WILSON and DOUG CAMPEN, individually and on behalf of all others	Case No. C12-01586-SC Assigned to the Honorable Samuel Conti	
14	similarly situated,	DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL	
15	Wilson,	NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
16	V.		
17	FRITO-LAY NORTH AMERICA, INC. and PEPSICO, INC.,	HEARING:	
18	Defendant.	Date: February 8, 2013 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
19		Place: Courtroom 1	
20			
21	Pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Defendants Frito-Lay North America		
22	Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc. respectfully request that this Court take judicial notice of the attached exhibits		
23	in considering Defendants' concurrently-filed Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaint.		
24	Exhibit A is a chart listing cases filed in this Court between March 29, 2012, and November		
25	1, 2012, by the same consortium of plaintiffs' firms, including Pratt & Associates; Nelkin & Nelkin; Don Barrett, P.A.; Charles Barrett, P.C.; Law Offices of Richard R. Barrett; Coleman Law Firm;		
26			
27			
28	Lovelace Law Firm; David Shelton P.L.L.C.; a	and Fleischman Law Firm. Exhibit G is a true and	

correct copy of the Complaint filed on August 26, 1995, in *Truth in Labeling Campaign v. Shalala*, 999 F. Supp. 1289 (E.D. Mo. 1998). The Court may take judicial notice of the cases listed in Exhibit A and the Complaint included in Exhibit G, as publicly filed cases and court documents. *See Estate of Blue v. County of Los Angeles*, 120 F.3d 982, 984 (9th Cir. 1997) (noting court may take judicial notice of papers filed in other court proceedings).

Exhibits B through F are true and correct copies of packaging for the following products that are referenced in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ("FAC") (see, e.g., FAC at ¶¶ 16-17):

- Exhibit B: Lay's Classic Potato Chips
- Exhibit C: Lay's Honey Barbecue Potato Chips
- Exhibit D: Lay's Kettle Cooked Mesquite BBQ Potato Chips
- <u>Exhibit E</u>: Cheetos Puffs
- <u>Exhibit F</u>: Fritos Corn Chips

The Court may take judicial notice of these labels in considering the Motion to Dismiss. Federal Rule of Evidence 201 authorizes judicial notice of facts that are not "subject to reasonable dispute in that [they] are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). Judicial notice of product packages is particularly appropriate because under California law "the primary evidence in a false advertising case is the advertising itself." *Brockey v. Moore*, 107 Cal. App. 4th 86, 100 (Cal. 2003). When "food product labels form the basis for Plaintiff's allegations . . . the Court takes judicial notice of these materials." *Anderson v. Jamba Juice Co.*, No. 12-cv-01213-YGR, 2012 WL 3642835, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2012) (citing *Wright v. Gen'l Mills, Inc.*, 2009 WL 3247148 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2009)); *see also Dvora v. Gen. Mills, Inc.*, No. 11-1074, 2011 WL 1897349, at *2 (C.D. Cal. May 16, 2011) (considering a "complete color copy" of product packaging on a motion to dismiss). Neither party can reasonably dispute the authenticity of the product labels, the contents of which Plaintiffs

Case 3:12-cv-01586-JST Document 28 Filed 11/09/12 Page 3 of 4

1	alleged in their Complaint. (See, e.g., FAC at ¶¶ 16-17.)	
2 3	Dated: November 9, 2012	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
4		
5		By: <u>/s/ Andrew S. Tulumello</u> Andrew S. Tulumello
6		Andrew S. Tulumello, SBN 196484
7 8		atulumello@gibsondunn.com 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036
9		Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539
10		Christopher Chorba, SBN 216692
11		cchorba@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue
12		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520
13		Attorneys for Defendants Frito-Lay North
14		America, Inc. and PepsiCo, Inc.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
_0		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jenna Musselman Yott, certify as follows:

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action. My business address is 555 Mission Street, San Francisco, California, 94105, in said County and State. I certify that on the date indicated below, I electronically transmitted to the Clerk and to each of the persons named below, who are registered as CM/ECF Users and have consented to electronic service, the following document using the CM/ECF System:

DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wilson and Campen Ben F. Pierce Gore Pratt & Associates The Pruneyard Tower I 901 S. Bascom Avenue Suite 350 Campbell, CA 95008 pgore@prattattorneys.com

I further certify that on the date indicated below, I caused such document to be transmitted by PDF format via electronic mail to the parties and electronic mail addresses named above, and that the transmission was reported complete and without error. The foregoing document was printed on recycled paper.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: November 9, 2012

/s/ Jenna Musselman Yott

Jenna Musselman Yott